#### SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

# **Licensing Sub-Committee (Regulatory)**

### Meeting held 27 September 2022

**PRESENT:** Councillors David Barker (Chair), Denise Fox and Maroof Raouf

### 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 No apologies for absence were received.

#### 2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

2.1 RESOLVED: That the public and press be excluded from the meeting before discussion takes place on item 5 on the agenda (item 4 of these minutes) on the grounds that, if the public and press were present during the transaction of such business, there would be a disclosure to them of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

#### 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 There were no declarations of interest.

## 4. HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE LICENSING - INDIVIDUAL CASES

- 4.1 The Chief Licensing Officer submitted details in respect of two cases relating to hackney carriage and private hire licensing.
- 4.2 The licence holder in Case No.139/22 attended the hearing with a representative and they both addressed the Sub-Committee.
- 4.3 The applicant in Case No.135/22 attended the hearing and addressed the Sub-Committee.
- 4.4 RESOLVED: That, after consideration of the information contained in the case papers, and the information now reported and, where relevant, circulated either prior to the meeting, but after publication of the agenda, or at the meeting, the cases now submitted be determined as follows:-

Case No.	<u>Licence Type</u>	<u>Decision</u>
139/22	Review of a Hackney	Immediately revoke the licence under

Carriage and Private Hire Driver's Licence

Section 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, as amended by Section 52 of the Road Safety Act 2006, on the grounds that, in view of the offences now reported, the Sub-Committee no longer considers the licence holder to be a fit and proper person to hold a licence.

135/22

Application to extend a Private Hire Vehicle Licence

Refuse to extend the licence on the grounds that the applicant has not provided sufficient evidence to convince the Sub-Committee that there are exceptional reasons to deviate from the current policy on the age limit of vehicles.